

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	K. Shyam et al.	Examiner	Leon Jonathan Harper
Serial No.	10/629,939	Group Art Unit	2166
Filed	July 29, 2003	Docket No.	SVL920020093US1
TITLE	METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR ACCESSING DATA IN A DATABASE TABLE		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the USPTO EFS-Web system to Examiner Leon Jonathan Harper on November 6, 2006.

/David Victor/
David W. Victor

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION SUBMITTED CONCURRENTLY
WITH A REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Amendment is submitted in response to a final office action dated August 4, 2006 ("Final Office Action") and concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected all claims as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. On October 24th, applicants and the Examiner and Supervisory Examiner held a phone interview discussing a proposed amendment to the claims. The Examiners indicated that the proposed amendments may distinguish over the cited art and that they would reconsider the rejection in view of such proposed amendments. Applicants amend herein the claims as proposed during the phone interview and submit the arguments presented during the phone interview distinguishing the amended and other claims from the cited art. Applicants further added claims 31-36. Applicants traverse the prior art rejections and submit that all pending claims 1-36 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2.
Remarks/Arguments begin on page 12.